

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,055	12/18/2001	Shu-Woei Chiou	A34893 1991	
23373	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	CRANE, SARA W		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	10/022,055	CHIOU ET AL.				
,	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sara W. Crane	2811				
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no 							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.🛛	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for application in condition for allowance because: \underline{Se}		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: as in the final Office action.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-/6</u>						
8.[☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•				
10.	Other:		Acra. W. Crane Sara W. Crane Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2811	me			

w d

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See reasons of record in the final Office action. Applicant notes several substantive differences between the prior art and the device of the invention, but these differences do not appear to be required by the claim language here. For example, nothing in the claims addresses the issue of partial oxidation along a lateral direction, and also there is nothing about the absence of a top mirror.